FILED

STATE OF INDIANA

JUN 2 1 2007

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION NDIANA UTILITY

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF)
THE BROWN COUNTY WATER)
UTILITY, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF A)
NEW SCHEDULE OF WATER RATE)
AND CHARGES AND TO INCUR)
FINANCING INDEBTEDNESS.)

REGULATORY COMMISSION
CAUSE NO.43203

THE BROWN COUNTY WATER UTILITY, INC.

PREFILED SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY

OF

PATRICK CALLAHAN, CPA

AND

MICHAEL MEYERS, PE

JUNE 21, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing Brown County Water Utility, Inc. Settlement Testimony has been served upon the following parties of record in the captioned proceeding by electronic mail or US mail on June 21, 2007.

Karol H. Krohn Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor 100 N. Senate Ave., Rm. N501 Indianapolis, IN 46204-2215

Stan B. Hirsch (By XHK)

Stan B. Hirsch Attorney at Law 4911 East 56th Street Indianapolis, IN 46220

PREFILED SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY OF PATRICK CALLAHAN, CPA

FILED ON BEHALF OF THE BROWN COUNTY WATER UTILITY, INC.

PREFILED SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF PATRICK CALLAHAN, CPA

On Behalf of

BROWN COUNTY WATER UTILITY, INC. IURC Cause No. 43203

BEFORE THE INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

- 1 1. Q. Would you please state your name and business address?
- A. My name is Patrick Callahan. My business address is
- 3 318 Park Street, Westfield, Indiana 46074.
- 4 2. Q. Are you the same Patrick Callahan that provided pre-5 filed testimony in this Cause on March 19, 2007?
- 6 A. Yes, I am.
- 3. Q. Have you reviewed Public's Exhibit Nos. 1, 2 and 3 submitted in Cause No. 43203 which constitutes the pre-
- 9 filed testimony of Harold H. Riceman, Harold L. Rees
- and Edward R. Kaufman respectively?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 4. Q. In your capacity of serving as a consultant for Brown
- County Water Utility, Inc. ("Petitioner"), have you
- reviewed the above testimony and developed a proposal
- that deals with many of the issues raised in Mr.
- Riceman's testimony?

17 A. Yes.

37

38

39

40

- 18 5. Q. In the process of your review, have you determined that
 19 it is important to the ratepayers of Petitioner that
 20 the debt service for a State Revolving Fund (SRF) loan
 21 in the amount of Two Hundred Seventy Thousand Six
 22 Hundred Fifteen Dollars (\$270,615) be included in the
 23 rate structure?
- Yes. It is important that the \$270,615 be included in Α. 24 rates, so that Petitioner will be able to pay its 25 principal and interest payments to the State Revolving 26 Fund (SRF) program in a timely fashion. Although all 27 the SRF funds have not been drawn down by Petitioner, 28 Petitioner is required to make scheduled principal and 29 interest payments to the SRF program. Petitioner has 30 paid its 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 principal and 31 interest payments to SRF. In two weeks, on July 1, 32 2007, Petitioner will be required to make a principal 33 payment of \$180,000 plus interest. Approximately six 34 months later on January 1, 2008, Petitioner will be 35 required to make another interest payment. 36

I would agree with the OUCC's position that the principal and interest payments not be included in the first phase, if Petitioner was not making any payments on the SRF loan. But that is not the case; Petitioner

- is paying, and has paid, scheduled SRF obligations
 based on the SRF loan documents. If the \$270,615
 revenue requirement for the SRF loan is eliminated from
 the first phase, Petitioner will likely default on its
 obligation. It is imperative that this amount be
 included in the first phase.
- 47 6. Q. Since Petitioner has not borrowed all of the SRF funds,
 48 will Petitioner pay the full \$270,615 per year for
 49 principal and interest payments?
- No, not initially. Petitioner will not have to pay the 50 Α. full \$270,615 until all of the SRF funds have been 51 borrowed. However, based on the consulting engineer's 52 construction timeline, all remaining SRF funds will be 53 used for construction projects within the next six to 54 eighteen months. At that point, Petitioner will be 55 56 required to pay \$270,615 per year for the life of the SRF loan. 57
- 7. Q. If Petitioner does not have to pay the full \$270,615
 principal and interest obligation on the SRF loan until
 all of the SRF funds are borrowed, what should be done
 with the excess?
- A. In the short-term, the actual SRF principal and interest payments will be less than the \$270,615.

 Although the principal payment is fixed, based on the

loan documents, the interest payments will vary until all funds are borrowed. The excess (\$270,615 less the actual principal and interest payments made) will be credited to the "Debt Service Reserve" account offset any debt service reserve requirement on proposed United States Department of Agriculture Rural Administration (USDA-RDA) Development loan. The revenue requirements for the annual principal interest and debt service reserve payments on USDA-RDA loan will be deferred until phase 2. excess collections will be used to reduce the USDA-RDA debt service reserve requirement in phase 2.

- 77 8. Q. In the event Petitioner does not follow through on its
 78 SRF projects and doesn't use the SRF funds and is
 79 cancelled by SRF, should the rates and charges be
 80 adjusted?
- Α. Yes. In the event the SRF loan is 81 cancelled, Petitioner will notify the OUCC and IURC within seven 82 days and promptly file revised tariff reflecting the 83 reduction of \$270,615 annually. 84
- 9. Q. Have you developed a pro-forma revenue requirement to allocate a proposed rate increase through a phase in increase process in two (2) steps?
- 88 A. Yes.

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

89 10. Q. Please explain.

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

90 Α. I have attached Petitioner's Exhibit PC-1 to explain 91 the two-step increase. I agree with Mr. Riceman's 92 overall proposed increase. Petitioner filed its rate 93 petition with the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission requesting an increase of 48.70% over its present rates 94 95 and charges. Column A reflects Petitioner's revenue 96 requirements as filed on March 19, 2007. In Columns B, 97 C, and D, I have reported the OUCC's 3-phase increases with Column E presenting their overall increase of 98 44.32%. I am proposing to combine OUCC's phases 1 and 99 100 2.

> The main difference between their phase 1 and 2 is the inclusion of the annual debt service on the SRF loan. The OUCC eliminated the \$270,615 annual SRF service payment from phase 1, since all of the SRF loan money has not been expended by Petitioner. Petitioner will have to pay interest on the funds borrowed from I would agree with the OUCC that the interest payments are reduced somewhat since Petitioner has not borrowed all of the funds; however, a review of the consulting engineer's construction timeline shows those funds will be expended significantly in 2007 and 2008. Once the borrowed funds are spent, the interest payments will increase dramatically and combined with

the annual principal payment, the \$270,615 revenue requirement will be needed. Petitioner will need the \$270,615 included in rates now so that these funds can be collected from the customers over the next twelve months, to ensure that the next principal and interest payments are made in a timely manner.

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

As mentioned earlier, Petitioner is currently paying its annual principal and interest payment to SRF, and has done so since 2003. Petitioner will be required to pay principal of \$180,000 plus the accrued interest on One year later, on July 1, 2008, July, 1, 2007. required to pay principal Petitioner will be \$190,000 plus accrued interest. By eliminating this revenue requirement in phase 1, Petitioner would not be able to pay the required principal and interest payments due to SRF. Furthermore, absent this revenue requirement, Petitioner would not meet its coverage requirement on its outstanding debt.

In Columns F and G, I have proposed a 2-phase increase. The first phase includes the \$270,615 revenue requirement for the SRF debt. I have agreed to all of the other revenue requirements proposed by the OUCC. Under the proposed phase 1 increase, the current rates and charges will be increased by 23.68%, followed by a phase 2 increase of 16.68% over the phase 1 rates and

139	charges.	The	phas	e 2	rates	will	be	imple	mented
140	approximat	tely	two	months	befor	e the	USD	A-RDA	loan
1.41	closing.	The	total	increa	se (Col	umn H,	line	31)	agrees
142	with the 0	OUCC	total	increas	se (Col	umn E,	line	31).	

- 143 11. Q. Does this conclude your pre-filed settlement testimony?
- 144 A. Yes.

BROWN COUNTY WATER UTILITY, INC. Helmsburg, Indiana

PRO-FORMA REVENUE REQUIREMENTS AND PROPOSED INCREASES (Phase-In Increases)

		PETITIONER's		once	OUCC's Filing		SET	SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL	OSAL
Line No.	Pro-Forma Revenue Requirements	Filing Col. A	Phase 1 Col. B	Phase 2 Col. C	Phase 3 Col. D	Total Increase Col. E	Phase 1 Col. F	Phase 2 Col. G	Total Increase Col. H
	Operation and Maintenance Expenses	\$ 1,970,507	\$ 1,842,915	\$ 1,853,347	\$ 1,899,970	\$ 1,899,970	\$ 1,853,347	\$ 1,899,970	\$ 1,899,970
7 w 2	Amortization Expense	11,306	11,306	11,306	11,306	11,306	11,306	11,306	11,306
+ 10 1	Taxes other than Income	32,784	32,784	32,784	32,784	32,784	32,784	32,784	32,784
0 1 0	Historical Extensions & Replacements	370,176	354,132	354,132	354,132	354,132	354,132	354,132	354,132
005	Debt Service - Revenue Bonds Series 1996 - F	204,487	204,487	204,487	204,487	204,487	204,487	204,487	204,487
> c	Debt Service Build Indiana Funds	191,275	191,275	191,275	191,275	191,275	191,275	191,275	191,275
4 W 2	Debt Service - SRF	270,615	ı	270,615	270,615	270,615	270,615	270,615	270,615
t 10 1	Debt Service - Rural Development (Proposed)	387,475			372,339	372,339	•	372,339	372,339
0 1 0	Debt Service Reserve	34,530	1	•	34,530	34,530	•	34,530	34,530
000	Working Capital	17,646	20,868	21,302	22,468	22,468	21,302	22,468	22,468
22 23 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	Pro-Forma Revenue Requirements Less: Town of Nashville - Facility Charges Other Operating Revenues Recurring Interest Income	\$ 3,490,801 135,168 42,656 36,372	\$ 2,657,767 135,168 42,656 36,372	\$ 2,939,248 135,168 42,656 36,372	\$ 3,393,906 135,168 42,656 36,372	\$ 3,393,906 135,168 42,656 36,372	\$ 2,939,248 135,168 42,656 36,372	\$ 3,393,906 135,168 42,656 36,372	\$ 3,393,906 135,168 42,656 36,372
26	Adjusted Pro-Forma Revenue Requirements Less: Present Rate Revenues	\$ 3,276,605 2,203,228	\$ 2,443,571 2,203,228	\$ 2,725,052 2,443,571	\$ 3,179,710 2,725,052	\$ 3,179,710 2,203,228	\$ 2,725,052 2,203,228	\$ 3,179,710 2,725,052	\$ 3,179,710 2,203,228
7 62 6	Increase Required - \$	\$ 1,073,377	\$ 240,343	\$ 281,481	\$ 454,658	\$ 976,482	\$ 521,824	\$ 454,658	\$ 976,482
3133	Increase Required - %	48.7%	10.91%	11.52%	16.68%	44.32%	23.68%	16.68%	44.32%
333			N (Ba	MONTHLY WATER BILL (Based on 4,000 gallons of usage)	R BILL s of usage)				
35	Current Monthly Bill Proposed Increase	\$ 36.36	\$ 36.36	\$ 40.33	\$ 44.97	\$ 36.36	\$ 36.36	\$ 44.97	\$ 36.36
38	Proposed Monthly Bill	\$ 54.08	\$ 40.33	\$ 44.97	\$ 52.47	\$ 52.47	\$ 44.97	\$ 52.47	\$ 52.47

PREFILED SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL MEYER, PE

FILED ON BEHALF OF THE BROWN COUNTY WATER UTILITY, INC.

PREFILED SUPPLEMENT TO DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF MICHAEL MEYER, P.E.

On Behalf of BROWN COUNTY WATER UTILITY, INC. IURC Cause No. 43203 BEFORE THE INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

- Q. 1. Please state your name and business address.
 - A. My name is Michael Meyer, and my business address is 629
 Washington Street, Columbus, IN 47201
- Q. 2. What is your occupation and for whom are you employed?
 - A. I am a Civil Engineer employed by Strand Associates.
- Q. 3. Have you been retained by Brown County Water Utility, Inc.?
 - A. Yes. Our firm was retained to determine the best method of resolving the need for additional groundwater supply and treatment capacity that meets the operating guidelines issued by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM). As a part of our review, our firm conducted a study of the petitioner's operations and capital improvements.

- Q. 4. Have you reviewed a construction schedule for the completion of a project that is funded by what is known as SRF Funds?
 - A. Yes
- Q. 5. Have you prepared a construction time line and description of the projects that will be completed to draw down the balance of the SRF funds?
 - A. Yes
- Q. 6. Please describe and outline that project if you could.
- A. The SRF Projects are identified in Table 1. This table outlines the projects by project phase, component description, PER cost estimate, construction status and design status.

The projects were then identified by priority with respect to need, number of new customers associated with the component and status of design. Cost estimates were updated using Engineering News Record (ENR) construction cost indexes. Cost indexes from the period the PER was developed, current index values and where known, actual bid prices were used. The costs were updated by taking the current ENR indexes and dividing it by the index which was in place at the time of the original estimate. This ratio was then multiplied times the original cost estimate to derive the estimated current cost for each phase of construction.

These values are shown in table 2. Due to the increase in construction costs, not all of the original project components could be completed based on the amount of funds remaining.

Table 3 is a time line showing the estimated construction period.

Table 4 is an estimated draw schedule based on the projected construction period, projected construction costs and my professional experience with contracts of this type. It assumes that the projects will be bid in two phases.

- Q. 7. Will the projects that will be completed pursuant to the construction outline referenced in your testimony above serve the needs of the rate payers of Brown County Water Utility, Inc.
 - A. Yes

- Q. 8. Will the completion of the projects outlined and referenced in your attachment herein remove the last portions of the previously issued Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) moratorium order.
 - A. Yes
- Q. 9. Have you reviewed the pre-filed testimony of Harold L. Rees tendered under cause number 43203 in this matter?
 - A. Yes
- Q. 10. On page 14 and 15 of public's exhibit 2, there are certain summary of recommendations tendered by the OUCC staff. Have you reviewed those recommendations and do you concur with those recommendations.
 - A. Yes.
 - 1. In fact we believe that recommendation number 1 regarding unaccounted for water and a quarterly report filed with the office of the OUCC showing the percentage of unaccounted for water during the previous 12 months is reasonable and I would concur that it is important information for the utility to retain.

- I concur that Brown County Water Utility, Inc. as a matter of practice should replace meters on a twelve (12) year cycle instead of the current seven (7) year cycle.
- 3. I concur and have previously discussed with Brown County Water Utility, Inc. that it form a water conservation committee to develop a five (5) year water conservation plan.
- 4. I do agree and recommend that the Brown County Water develop a written list of activities and a proposed schedule for a leak detection program and this should be submitted to the IURC and OUCC.

I affirm, under the penalties for perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and correct.

Michael Meyer, P.E.

BROWN COUNTY WATER UTILITY, INC. STATE REVOLVING FUND AND BUILD INDIANA FUND TABLE 1 PER

		PER		
		ESTIMATED		
PROJEC'	T DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COST	STATUS	
	111.004.BB B0.4B			
A-1	HAGGARD ROAD	\$15,397	COMPLETED	
A-1	BECKS GROVE ROAD	30,794	COMPLETED	
A-1	POPLAR GROVE ROAD	128,255	COMPLETED	
A-2	RICHARDS GROVE ROAD	91,400	COMPLETED	
A-2	CARMEL RIDGE-BEAR CREEK	159,803	COMPLETED	
A-2	BEAR CREEK-SLIPPERY ELM	208,157	COMPLETED	
A-3	SWEETWATER TRAIL	185,635	COMPLETED	
A-3	MT. MARIAH ROAD	128,091	COMPLETED	
A-3	PERSIMMON RIDGE ROAD	38,198	COMPLETED	
A-3	GREEN ROAD	95,315	COMPLETED	
A-3	FORD RIDGE	31,253	COMPLETED	
A-3	VAUGHT ROAD	24,111	COMPLETED	
A-3	UPPER SALT CREEK ROAD	45,143	COMPLETED	
A-3	GOLD POINT ROAD	28,485	COMPLETED	
A-3	OFFICE BUILDING	200,000	COMPLETED	
A-5	UPPER SALT CREEK	68,403	COMPLETED	
A-6	FRUITDALE ROAD	41,118	COMPLETED	
A-6	SMITH ROAD	101,793	COMPLETED	
PER ES	TIMATED PROJECT COST	\$1,621,351		
COMPLE	ETED PROJECTS			
			•	
A-6	LAKE LEMON WATER STORAGE TANK	\$283,500	UNDER CONTRACT	
				IDEM Submission to Bid
A-3	MT. NEBO BOOSTER	37,800	NEARLY READY TO BID	6/30/2007
A-5	WALLOW HOLLOW ROAD	233,415	NEARLY READY TO BID	6/30/2007
A-5	CLAY LICK ROAD	194,201	NEARLY READY TO BID	6/30/2007
A-5	TIMBER CREST ROAD	176,806	NEARLY READY TO BID	6/30/2007
A-5	SALT CREEK ROAD	106,634	NEARLY READY TO BID	6/30/2007
A-5	CLAY LICK-TIMBER CREST	115,970	NEARLY READY TO BID	6/30/2007
PER ES	TIMATED PROJECT COST	\$864,826		
NEARLY	'READY TO BID			
A-6	UNITY CHURCH-BITTERSWEET	\$574,119	90% DESIGN	9/30/2007
A-6	HOMESTEAD ROAD	88,452	90% DESIGN	9/30/2007
A-6	GATEVILLE ROAD	107,371	90% DESIGN	9/30/2007
A-6	GEORGETOWN ROAD BOOSTER	88,200	80% DESIGN	9/30/2007
A-4	GATESVILLE ROAD	68,796	0% DESIGN	
A-4	HOOVER ROAD	375,430	0% DESIGN	
A-4	MT. LIBERTY ROAD	313,268	85% DESIGN	
A-4	HAPPY HOLLOW CAMP TRAIL	112,039	85% DESIGN	
A-4	HAPPY HOLLOW TANK	403,200	0% DESIGN	
PER ES	TIMATED PROJECT COST	\$2,130,875		
	IAINING PROJECTS	÷-1:1-:3		
PER TO	TAL ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	\$4,900,552		
		4.,000,002		

BROWN COUNTY WATER UTILITY, INC. UPDATED ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST FOR STATE REVOLVING FUND TABLE 2

						•								
	CONSTRUCTION	ESTIMATE	\$41,200	214,500	211,900	192,900	116,400	126,600	626,500	96,500	117,200	96,300	412,400	
	PER	ESTIMATE	\$33,000	171,600	169,541	154,354	93,093	101,224	501,215	77,220	93,737	7.7,000	247,500	
4 11C		IECT DESCRIPTION	A-3 MT. NEBO BOOSTER		5 CLAY LICK ROAD		0,	Ů	_			Ŭ	6 LAKE LEMON WATER STORAGE TANK	
		PROJECT	¥.	A-5	A-5	A-5	A-5	A-5	A-6	A-6	9-Y	A-6	A-6	

				Start	Substantial
	IDEM submittal	Bid	Award	Construction completion	completion
MT NEBO BOOSTER	6/10/2007	7/16/2007	8/15/2007	9/1/2007	7/31/2008
WALLOW HOLLOW ROAD	6/10/2007	7/16/2007	8/15/2007	9/1/2007	7/31/2008
CLAY LICK ROAD	6/10/2007	7/16/2007	8/15/2007	9/1/2007	7/31/2008
TIMBER CREST ROAD	6/10/2007	7/16/2007	8/15/2007	9/1/2007	7/31/2008
SALT CREEK ROAD	6/10/2007	7/16/2007	8/15/2007	9/1/2007	7/31/2008
C. AY LICK-TIMBER CREST	6/10/2007	7/16/2007	8/15/2007	9/1/2007	7/31/2008
INITY CHURCH-BITTERSWEET	9/30/2007	10/31/2007 11/15/2007	11/15/2007	12/15/2007	2/15/2007 12/15/2008
HOMESTEAD ROAD	9/30/2007	10/31/2007	0/31/2007 11/15/2007	12/15/2007	12/15/2007 12/15/2008
GATESVII I F ROAD	9/30/2007	10/31/2007	0/31/2007 11/15/2007	12/15/2007	12/15/2007 12/15/2008
GEORGETOWN ROAD BOOSTER	9/30/2007	10/31/2007 11/15/2007	11/15/2007	12/15/2007	2/15/2007 12/15/2008
LAKE LEMON WATER STORAGE TANK			6/1/2007	6/15/2007	6/15/2007 12/15/2007

\$2,252,400

TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST

Brown County Water PER Construction Schedule

TABLE 3

Project		June-07 July-07	July-07 August-07	September-07 October-07 November-07	October-07	Vovember-07	December-07	January-08	February-08	March-08
MT. NEBO BOOSTER WALLOW HOLLOW ROAD CLAY LICK ROAD TIMBER CREST ROAD SALT CREEK ROAD							5 (1) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4			
OUNTY CHURCH-BITTERSWEET HOMESTEAD ROAD GATESVILLE ROAD		- Transfer and the second								
GEORGETOWN ROAD BOOSTER LAKE LEMON WATER STORAGE TANK	Description									
Request IDEM review		April-08	May-08	June-08	July-08	August-08	September-08 October-08	October-08	November-08	December-08
Bidding Award Contract Construction										
Substantial completion						E SA		10 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	E	

TABLE 4

## State	Project	Estimated Construction Cost	June-07	July-07	August-07	September-07	October-07	November-07 December-07	December-07	January-08	February-08	March-08
STATE STAT	OBOOSTER	\$41,200				\$2,060	\$6,180	\$6,180	\$6,180	\$4,120	\$2,060	\$2,060
STOTATION STOTATION STOTATION STOTATION STOTATION STOTATION ST	W HOLLOW ROAD	\$214,500				\$10,725	\$32,175	\$32,175	\$32,175	\$21,450	\$10,725	\$10,725
State	CK ROAD	\$211,900				\$10,595	\$31,785	\$31,785	\$31,785	\$21,190	\$10,595	\$10,595
SEST STAGO	CREST ROAD	\$192,900				\$9,645	\$28,935	\$28,935	\$28,935	\$19,290	\$9,645	\$9,645
SEST S126,600 S126,600 S126,600 S126,600 S126,600 S126,600 S117,200 S1	REEK ROAD	\$116,400				\$5,820	\$17,460	\$17,460	\$17,460	\$11,640	\$5,820	\$5,820
SECONOME	CK-TIMBER CREST	\$126,600				\$6,330	\$18,990	\$18,990	\$18,990	\$12,660	\$6,330	\$6,330
\$96,500 \$117,200 \$11,200 \$1	CHURCH-BITTERSWEET	\$626,500							\$31,325	\$62,650	\$31,325	\$31,325
S177,200 S177,200 Subtotal S46,175 S135,525	TEAD ROAD	\$96,500							\$4,825	\$9,650	\$4,825	\$4,825
STORAGE TANK \$96,300 Subtotal \$45,175 \$135,525 \$135,225 \$1	ALLIE BOAD	\$117.200							\$5,860	\$11,720	\$5,860	\$5,860
STORAGE TANK \$412,400 Subtotal \$45,175 \$135,525 \$	ETOWN BOAD BOOSTER	896.300							\$4,815	\$9,630	\$4,815	\$4,815
Subtotal \$45,175 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,525 \$135,225	MON WATER STORAGE TANK	\$412.400							\$20,620	\$41,240	\$20,620	\$20,620
\$2,252,400 Running Total \$45,175 \$180,700 \$316,225				S	Subtotal	\$45,175	\$135,525	\$135,525	\$202,970	\$225,240	\$112,620	\$112,620
cct April-08 May-08 July-08 August-08 September-08 COAD \$6,430 \$4,120 \$1,236 \$6,435 \$4,280 S31,775 \$21,450 \$6,435 \$4,280 S31,785 \$1,140 \$6,357 \$4,288 CAB \$1,240 \$6,435 \$4,280 S1,240 \$6,357 \$4,288 S1,740 \$6,357 \$4,288 S1,740 \$1,660 \$1,678 \$2,328 S1,740 \$1,660 \$1,680 \$1,675 \$1,879 S1,8,795 \$2,532 \$2,532 \$1,700 \$1,447 \$1,700 \$1,700 S1,700 \$1,660 \$1,447 \$19,300 \$1,447 \$9,650 \$2,895 \$1,720 \$1,720 \$1,740 \$1,445 \$1,445 \$9,630 \$2,895 \$1,720 \$1,440 \$1,445 \$9,630 \$1,370 \$1,436 \$1,445 \$1,445 \$1,445 \$1,806 \$1,370 \$1,445 \$1,446 \$1,446 \$1,446 <td></td> <td>\$2,252,400</td> <td></td> <td>ír.</td> <td>Running Total</td> <td>\$45,175</td> <td>\$180,700</td> <td>\$316,225</td> <td>\$519,195</td> <td>\$744,435</td> <td>\$857,055</td> <td>\$969,675</td>		\$2,252,400		ír.	Running Total	\$45,175	\$180,700	\$316,225	\$519,195	\$744,435	\$857,055	\$969,675
\$6,180 \$4,120 \$1,236 \$824 COAD S22,175 \$21,450 \$6,435 \$4,290 S28,375 \$21,190 \$6,357 \$4,238 COAD S28,375 \$1,190 \$6,357 \$4,238 S28,935 \$19,290 \$1,1640 \$3,492 \$2,328 S18,990 \$12,660 \$3,798 \$2,532 ERSWEET S9,650 \$14,445 \$19,300 \$11,720 \$11,720 S17,580 \$17,580 \$17,580 \$17,720 S17,580 \$14,445 \$19,260 \$41,240 \$13,272 SOBOOSTER \$41,240 \$61,860 \$82,480 \$41,240 \$13,372 SOBOOSTER \$41,240 \$61,860 \$82,480 \$41,240 \$13,372 SOBOOSTER \$41,240 \$61,860 \$14,445 \$19,660 \$14,445 \$1,445 SOBOOSTER \$41,240 \$61,860 \$14,445 \$1,240 \$14,445 \$1,445 SOBOOSTER \$41,240 \$61,860 \$14,445 \$1,445 SOBOOSTER \$41,240 \$61,860 \$14,445 \$1,445 SOBOOSTER \$41,240 \$61,860 \$1,445 SOBOOSTER \$41,441 \$61,445 SOBOOSTER \$41,442 \$61,445 SOBOOSTER \$41,444 \$61,445 SOBOOSTER \$41,445 \$61,445 SOBOOS	Project	_	April-08	Mav-08	June-08	July-08		September-08	October-08	October-08 November-08	December-08 Total	Fotal
COAD \$32,175 \$21,450 \$6,435 \$4,290 COAD \$31,785 \$21,190 \$6,357 \$4,238 D \$28,935 \$19,200 \$5,787 \$3,888 CERSIVEET \$11,640 \$13,492 \$2,328 FERSIVEET \$18,990 \$12,660 \$3,798 \$2,532 ERSIVEET \$18,990 \$12,660 \$3,798 \$2,650 \$18,796 SPG-650 \$14,475 \$19,300 \$14,475 \$11,720 \$1,876 \$2,895 SPG-670 \$14,445 \$17,580 \$11,720 \$3,78 \$23,440 \$1,758 \$1,375 STORAGE TANK \$3000 \$14,445 \$61,260 \$41,240 \$12,372 SUBDIAL \$3000 \$14,445 \$10,260 \$14,445 \$9,630 \$2,889 SUBDIAL \$3000 \$14,445 \$10,260 \$14,445 \$9,630 \$1,240 \$1,372 SUBDIAL \$3000 \$14,445 \$10,260 \$14,445 \$1,240 \$1,240 \$1,370	AO BOOSTEB		\$6.180	\$4.120	\$1,236	\$824						\$41,200
\$31,785 \$21,190 \$6,357 \$4,238 \$28.890 \$19,290 \$5,787 \$3,888 \$28.990 \$12,690 \$5,787 \$2,328 \$2,328 \$28.990 \$12,690 \$5,787 \$2,552 \$	W HOLLOW ROAD		\$32,175	\$21,450	\$6,435	\$4,290						\$214,500
AD \$28,935 \$19,290 \$5,787 \$3,858 CREST \$17,460 \$11,640 \$3,492 \$2,228 CREST \$18,990 \$12,660 \$3,798 \$2,532 TERSWEET \$62,630 \$93,975 \$16,530 \$93,975 \$18,795 AD BOOSTER \$11,720 \$14,475 \$19,300 \$14,475 \$9,650 \$2,895 AD BOOSTER \$9,630 \$14,445 \$19,260 \$11,720 \$3,516 \$2,895 SOLOTAR \$9,630 \$14,445 \$19,260 \$14,445 \$9,630 \$2,899 SOLOTAR \$14,445 \$19,260 \$14,445 \$9,630 \$2,899 SOLOTAR \$5,630 \$14,445 \$19,630 \$12,372 \$2,899 AD SOLOTAR \$1,740 \$1,740 \$1,240 \$1,237 \$2,899 AD SOLOTAR \$1,740 \$1,740 \$1,240 \$1,240 \$1,240 AD SOLOTAR \$1,740 \$1,445 \$1,240 \$1,240 \$1,240 AD SOLOTAR	CK ROAD		\$31,785	\$21,190	\$6,357	\$4,238						\$211,900
CREST \$17,460 \$11,640 \$3,492 \$2,328 CREST \$18,990 \$12,660 \$3,798 \$2,532 TERSWEET \$62,650 \$93,975 \$16,775 \$65,650 \$18,795 AD BOOSTER \$17,720 \$17,580 \$14,475 \$14,475 \$9,650 \$2,895 AD BOOSTER \$9,630 \$14,445 \$19,260 \$14,445 \$9,630 \$2,899 SOLIOTER \$9,630 \$14,445 \$19,260 \$14,445 \$9,630 \$2,899 SOLIOTER \$9,630 \$14,445 \$19,260 \$14,445 \$9,630 \$2,899 SOLIOTER \$9,630 \$2,899 \$20,600 \$14,445 \$9,630 \$2,899 AD AGOSTER \$10,000 \$14,445 \$19,600 \$14,445 \$9,630 \$2,899 AD AGOSTER \$20,000 \$14,445 \$19,600 \$14,445 \$9,630 \$2,899 AD AGOSTER \$20,000 \$14,445 \$10,600 \$14,445 \$10,600 \$10,400 \$10,400 \$10,400	CREST ROAD		\$28,935	\$19,290	\$5.787	\$3,858						\$192,900
CREST \$18,990 \$12,660 \$3,798 \$2,532 \$18,795 \$18,795 TERSWEET \$62,650 \$33,975 \$126,500 \$39,875 \$62,650 \$1,8795 \$9,650 \$1,447 \$19,300 \$14,445 \$9,650 \$2,895 \$1,720 \$17,580 \$17,780 \$17,720 \$3,516 AD BOOSTER \$9,630 \$14,445 \$19,260 \$14,445 \$9,630 \$2,889 SIDIOIAI \$21,240 \$61,860 \$82,480 \$61,860 \$41,240 \$13,372 SUBDIDIAR \$1,240 \$14,865 \$220,460 \$13,489 \$40,467 \$7,575	STEK BOAD		\$17.460	\$11,640	\$3,492	\$2,328						\$116,400
TERSWEET \$62,650 \$93,975 \$125,300 \$93,975 \$62,650 \$18,795 \$62,650 \$18,795 \$62,650 \$18,795 \$62,650 \$18,795 \$62,650 \$18,795 \$62,650 \$18,795 \$62,650 \$14,475 \$96,500 \$14,445 \$96,500 \$14,445 \$96,500 \$14,445 \$96,630 \$14,445 \$14,	CELLOCOLO CREST		\$18,990	\$12,660	\$3,798	\$2,532						\$126,600
S9,650 \$14,475 \$19,300 \$14,475 \$9,650 \$2,895 \$2,895 \$2,895 \$3,105 \$11,720 \$11,720 \$17,580 \$17,580 \$11,720 \$3,516 \$3,516 \$10,000 \$14,445 \$9,630 \$2,889 \$2,889 \$2,889 \$2,889 \$2,889 \$2,889 \$2,889 \$2,889 \$2,899 \$2,899 \$2,899 \$2,899 \$2,899 \$2,899 \$2,899 \$2,899 \$2,999	HURCH-BITTERSWEET		\$62,650	\$93,975	\$125,300	\$93,975	\$62,650	\$18,795	\$6,265	\$0	\$6,265	\$626,500
AD BOOSTER AD BOOSTER AD BOOSTER SUbtotal Subtoa	TEAD BOAD		\$9,650	\$14,475	\$19,300	\$14,475	\$9,650	\$2,895	\$965	\$0	\$96\$	\$96,500
AD BOOSTER S9,630 \$14,445 \$19,260 \$14,445 \$9,630 \$2,889 ER STORAGE TANK Subtotal Subtotal S0,000 \$1,000	ILLE BOAD		\$11,720	\$17,580	\$23,440	\$17,580	\$11,720	\$3,516	\$1,172	\$0	\$1,172	\$117,200
TANK \$41,240 \$61,860 \$82,480 \$61,860 \$41,240 \$12,372 \$	FTOWN ROAD BOOSTER		\$9,630	\$14,445	\$19,260	\$14,445	\$9,630	\$2,889	\$963	\$0	\$963	\$96,300
Subtotal \$270,415 \$292,685 \$296,885 \$220,405 \$134,890 \$40,467	MON WATER STORAGE TANK		\$41,240	\$61,860	\$82,480	\$61,860	\$41,240	\$12,372	\$4,124	\$0	\$4,124	\$412,400
\$1 24 24 25 42 \$1 53 275 \$1 80 660 \$2 050 065 \$2 184 955 \$2 225 422		Subtotal	\$270,415	\$292,685	\$296,885	\$220,405	\$134,890	\$40,467	\$13,489	0\$	\$13,489	\$2,252,400
41,042,040 41,040,000 44,040 41,040,000 44,040 44,040 44,040		Running Total	\$1,240,090	\$1,532,775	\$1,829,660	\$2,050,065	\$2,184,955	\$2,225,422	\$2,238,911	\$2,238,911	\$2,252,400	